# NCS SPORTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

| MINUTE TOPIC | MAKER OF MOTION /SECOND | ACTION |
|---|---|---|
| **I. CONSENT AGENDA** | Phil Tomasini/ Bud Beemer | Unanimous |
| **II. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING** | | PASSED (CONSENT) |
| **III PUBLIC INPUT** | Susie Woodall | NONE |
| **IV. CIF ITEMS** | | |
| A. Basketball Proposal A (Attachment A) | Phil Tomasini/Tom Welsh | FAILED (9-18-3) |
| B. Basketball Proposal B (Attachment B) | Phil Tomasini/Rick Winter | FAILED (6-21-2) |
| **V. NCS ITEMS** | | |
| A. Adjust the NCS/Les Schwab Tires Basketball Championship date schedule | Tom Welsh/Marie Sugiyama | PASSED (31-0-0) |
| B. 3-year NCS Championship Calendar (Attachment C) | Phil Tomasini/Chuck Davision | PASSED (31-0-0) |
| C. Football- 6, 8, and 9 player football field dimensions | Phil Tomasini/Linda Sawyer | PASSED (24-0-6) |
| **VI. NEW BUSINESS** | | |
| A. Class A Track Meet Proposal (Attachment D) | Linda Sawyer/Pat Middendorf | PASSED (23-6-2) |
| B. Develop Two Classifications for boys' and girls Water Polo | -- | -- |
| (1) Proposal I – Open and Bay Division | Rick Winter/ Ole Chiavini | FAILED (0-22-6) |
| (2) Proposal II- Two Divisions Brackets 16/8 | Phil Tomasini/Rick Winter | FAILED (3-22-4) |
| (3) Proposal III- Two Divisions Brackets 12/12 | Pat Middendorf/ Linda Sawyer | PASSED (24-2-4) |
| C. At-large & Seeding Review Committee Recommendations (All Team Sports) | Rick Winter/ Geri Giovannetti | PASSED (31-0-0) |
| D. Alumni Game Proposal (BAC) | Tom Welsh/Mario Balestrieri | FAILED (8-20-1) |
| **VII. OLD BUSINESS** | Susie Woodall | NONE |
| **VIII. STAFF REPORTS**<br>A. Commissioner (Attachment E)<br>B. Associate Commissioner (Attachment F)<br>C. Assistant Commissioner | <br>Gil Lemmon<br>Karen Smith<br>Bri Niemi | |

The regular meeting of the North Coast Section Sports Advisory Committee was called to order by Chairperson Susie Woodall at 8:35 a.m. on December 8, 2008, at **NOVOTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**, Novato, California.

## LEAGUES & REPRESENTATIVES

**Alameda Contra Costa Athletic League (2)**
Bill Jones, ACCAL Commissioner–ABSENT
Tiffiny Valdehueza, Pinole Valley HS

**Bay Area Conference**
Tom Welsh, BAC Commissioner
**Bay Counties League (2)**
Mary Fahey, Head-Royce HS
**Bay Counties League East (1)**
Linda Desimone, College Prep HS (ABSENT)
**Bay Counties League-West (1)**
Brenden Blakeley, Urban HS
**Bay Counties League Central (1)**
Steve Glass, Bay School S.F. HS

**Bay Shore Athletic League (2)**
Phil Tomasini, BSAL Commissioner
TBA

**Bay Valley Athletic League (2)**
Bud Beemer, BVAL Commissioner
Elisha Hall, Liberty HS

**Coastal Mountain Conference (4)**
Chuck Davison, CMC Commissioner
**NBL I North**
Geri Giovannetti, Middletown High School
**NBL I South**
Tom Hoppe, St. Helena HS
Sue Keller, St. Vincent
**NBL II North**
**NBL II South**

**Diablo Foothill Athletic League (2)**
Ole Chiavini, DFAL Commissioner
Linda Sawyer, DFAL Rep.

**Diablo Valley Athletic League (2)**
Mario Balestrieri, DVAL Commissioner
Pat Middendorf, Clayton Valley

**East Bay Athletic League (2)**
Leo Lopoz proxy for Don Nelson, EBAL Commissioner
Gleam Greene, Carondelet HS

**Hayward Area Athletic League (2)**
Dave Kiesel, HAAL Commissioner
Marie Gray, Castro Valley HS

**Humboldt-Del Norte League (2)**
Charlie Lakin, HDNL Commissioner
Karen Parlato, Fortuna HS

**Marin County Athletic League (2)**
Susie Woodall, MCAL Commissioner, SAC Chair
Rick Winter, Marin Catholic HS
Michelle Smith, Branson School

**Mission Valley Athletic League (2)**
Tom Breen, MVAL Commissioner
Kim Martin, Irvington HS

**North Bay League (2)**
Marie Sugiyama, NBL Co-Commissioner
Russ Petrich, NBL Co-Commissioner

**Sonoma County League (2)**
Dave Ashworth, SCL Commissioner
Kathryn Teeter, Petaluma HS

**STAFF**:
Gil Lemmon, NCS Commissioner of Athletics
Karen Smith, NCS Associate Commissioner
Sandy Lemmon, NCS Championship Events Coordinator

Martin Munoz, Mission San Jose HS Guest

Each item passed at this meeting will become effective July 1, 2009 unless otherwise noted, if passed by the Board of Managers at the January 23, 2009 meeting.

# NCS SPORTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES
# December 8, 2008

**I. CONSENT AGENDA**

**A. PASSED (Unanimous)**

To approve the consent agenda

MOTION: Phil Tomasini SECOND Bud Beemer

**II. MINUTES**

**A. PASSED (CONSENT, AGENDA)**

To approve of the minutes of the March 10, 2008 meeting as printed.

**III. PUBLIC INPUT no public input was given.**

**IV. CIF ITEMS**

**A. PASSED (FAILED 9-18-3)**

See A**ttachment A**

**B. PASSED (FAILED 9-18-3)**

See **Attachment B**

**VII. NCS ITEMS**

A. **PASSED (31-0-0)**

Adjust the NCS/Les Schwab Tires Basketball Championship date schedule

Motion to adjust the championship date for NCS/ Les Schwab Tires Basketball Championships presented by Farmers to include playing championship games on Friday as well as Saturday.

Rationale: This will allow NCS the ability to better utilize premium venues during years when NCS cannot secure large venues for the championship games. (i.e. Oakland Coliseum, University of California)

MOTION: Tom Welsh SECOND: Marie Sugiyama

B. **PASSED (31-0-0)**

3-year Championship Calendar 2009-2012 **(Attachment C)**

MOTION: Phil Tomasini SECOND: Chuck Davision

C. **PASSED (24-0-6)**

Football- 6, 8, and 9 player football field dimensions

Motion to allow Sections the ability to opt to play nine, eight and six player football on the 11-player field. The NFHS rules books states: "By State association adoption, the 11-player field may be designated as official, and the dimensions of the field may be altered."

Rationale: There is concern that if a player should be injured on the larger field, they could be subject to litigation because that size field is not permitted at the current time.

MOTION: Phil Tomasini SECOND: Linda Sawyer

**V. NEW BUSINESS**

A. **PASSED(23-6-2)**

**At-Large qualifying marks for the Class A track meet (Attachment D)**

Motion to establish "At-Large" qualifying marks for Class A track meet each year based on the average 8th place finisher's mark over previous three years. The mark must be established at their respective league championship meets. If an athlete finishes as an automatic qualifier based on finish order then the qualifying mark standard would not apply.

Rationale: Small schools that compete in leagues with schools in higher classifications will often have athletes who would easily qualify for the Class A meet if they were competing in an area or league meet with schools of similar size. However, due to the competition at the league championship meet they may not finish in the top 4 or 6 and therefore not automatically qualify for the Class A meet. By averaging the mark of the 8th place finisher over the past three years and using that as an automatic qualifying mark, those athletes who would have made the finals in previous years will be given an opportunity to compete at the meet that year. This follows the standard set by the CIF and NCS for other end of the year meets, such as The Meet of Champions. This is also similar to the process followed by the NCS for swimming.

Benefits: Qualified athletes will have the opportunity to compete at the next level.

Small schools competing in geographic leagues will not be penalized.
Consistency across meets and sports.
Increased interest in track and field in smaller schools

Impact: Could potentially increase the number of preliminary heats at the Class A meet.
Some additional paperwork to maintain qualifying marks each year and check at-large entrants' times.
See attached chart for projected times for 2009. (**Attachment B)**

MOTION: Linda Sawyer SECOND: Pat Middendorf

**B. FAILED(2-22-6)**

Motion to create two (2) Divisions for NCS/Les Schwab Tires Water Polo Championships and create an open division

**NCS Water Polo Petition to move to Two Divisions for NCS Playoffs**

We spoke about this issue at the NCS coaches meeting and the open format seems to be the best format to go with to keep everyone happy. No system will be perfect but the "open" format seems to be the format that is most practical. The petition was unanimously approved by the Mission Valley Athletic League coaches. I'm asking you to contact your Athletic Director and support this petition so it gets pushed through.

If you have any questions or concerns please don't hesitate to email me Martin Munoz at mmguy33@aol.com . I'm open to all questions and alternatives. We've been through many different types of scenarios and formats but the open division seems to be the one scenario that keeps all parties happy and with the CCS Football moving to that format there is a precedent in place. This format will appease the "powers" and the "developing" schools in the water polo.

*The North Coast section is the ONLY section in California that does not have multiple divisions for both boys and girls. In Northern California the Central, Central Coast and San Joaquin Sections ALL have multiple divisions for both genders.
**Central Coast Section (56 teams according to their website) -** supports four12 team tournaments (2 divisions for boys/girls)
**San Joaquin Section (61 teams participating according to John Williams Asst. Commisioner) -** supports two 16 team tournaments and two 12 team tournaments

**Central Section-** supports two 16 team tournaments and two 12 team tournaments

**OPTION (1)**
**PETITION for two divisions "OPEN" Division and "Bay Division".** After much thought and discussion I think the best and only route to go would be to follow the Central Coast Sections Football's lead and create an "Open" division for water polo. The CCS created this division for football after Valley Christian and other schools with lower enrollment would routinely beat teams in their division by large margins.
This competitive inequity is the same thing we see in water polo. The best teams if you take a look at the CBED figures are the smallest schools. Creating divisions by solely looking at enrollment figures would not solve the biggest issue which is allowing more kids to compete for a section banner. It would also upset Monte Vista, San Ramon and De La Salle since they wouldn't get to compete against their traditional rivals and would "roll" through the big school division. Miramonte and other traditional 2A schools have voiced displeasure that they would not get to compete in the big school division and also compete against their traditional rivals.

This is the format used by the Central Coast Section for Football. The Open Division would be open to any team but would definitely include the champion of the the power leagues. I think that this is far and away the BEST option and would keep all parties happy. The opportunity to play in the "OPEN" division would be available to any team.
**Pros:**
1)Better competitive balance in respective tournaments
2) More teams have the chance to compete for a NCS banner
3) best teams get the opportunity to compete against one another:
4) Interest at schools that are not traditional power will increase and benefit water polo in the area as a whole
5) Water Polo in the North Bay and other areas of the section are growing rapidly.
**Cons**:
1) Teams "tanking" games and not applying to the OPEN division. (this has not been the case in the CCS football playoffs)
2) Goes against traditional NCS model of going by CBED ( time for change see CCS football model which has been very successful).

***Why have 2 divisions why not stay with the current format?***

***OPEN vs traditional CBED?***
Water Polo success is not directly related to your student population. Berkeley, Deer Valley, Washington , Amador Valley, Newark Memorial, Irvington, Foothill, Antioch, James Logan have 2,000 students plus and beautiful facilities but struggle to compete with schools from more affluent areas such as Orinda , San Ramon which draw 3 times as many kids with enrollments under 1,500. Many of the 2A schools field freshman teams while a vast majority of the 4A football schools struggle to field junior varsity teams.

- At the very least the NCS needs to move to two divisions since the change is long overdue. The next step is choosing the correct format. As you'll see splitting the divisions purely by enrollment will not solve the problem and could be a point of contention for San Ramon, Monte Vista and De La Salle since they'll be stuck in the big school division where they won't get to face their traditional rivals. Miramonte, Campolindo and Acalanes would also not to get to compete for the big school division. This is a point of contention in the current CCS water polo (not to be confused with the "open" football division. In many years past the top two teams in the section were in separate divisions . Many CCS coaches have expressed displeasure that they can not crown a true champion. Having an open division would solve this problem.

- You can't let the small schools petition up to the big school division in the CBED format because then you'll hurt the smaller school division by them losing a majority of their teams. CBED format also does not allow the large schools to move "down" a division despite them being more on a equal playing field with schools with significantly lower enrollments.

Taken from the CCS website for their OPEN division Format:
All schools wishing to play in the "Open" Division shall submit a completed **Division Declaration Form** (See APPENDIX for **DIVISION DECLARATION FORM** - Football) **on or before the 3rd Monday in October** of the current school year. After legally submitting its Division Declaration Form, a school may not then rescind its declaration at a later date.

Example Open Division: Teams petitioning up forming a 8-12 team bracket (depending on response that year) If an overflow as cited in this example, Teams seeded #13 and #14 will be allowed to compete in Bay Division.
(14 teams petitioned by October 3rd deadline and attend 10 am seeding meeting)
Teams that filled out paperwork: Monte Vista, De La Salle, Carondolet, San Ramon Valley, Miramonte, Acalanes, Campolindo, Las Lomas, Northgate, Sir Francis Drake, Marin Catholic, Ygnacio Valley , Foothill and Amador Valley

Bay Division 1pm seeding meeting: would then select 8-12 team bracket from the teams that showed up to NCS meeting from the remainder of the NCS member schools that didn't petition up or were not selected for "Open Division" (teams 13 & 14 in this example)

BREAKDOWN OF NCS WATER POLO PLAYING SCHOOLS ACCORDING TO THEIR CBED #'S

| School | Enrollment | League | Appeared in Quartefinals ie. won a first round NCS game (boys or girls) since 2000 |
|---|---|---|---|
| James Logan High School | 4069 | MVAL | no |
| Deer Valley High School | 3307 | BVAL | no |
| Berkeley High School | 3140 | ACAAL | no |
| Antioch High School | 2701 | BVAL | no |
| Monte Vista High School | 2631 | EBAL | yes |
| California High School | 2601 | EBAL | no |
| Amador Valley High School | 2535 | EBAL | no |
| Granada High School | 2384 | EBAL | no |
| Foothill High School | 2328 | EBAL | no |
| Liberty High School | 2311 | BVAL | no |
| Freedom High School | 2159 | BVAL | no |
| San Ramon Valley HS | 2147 | EBAL | yes |
| College Park High School | 2134 | DFAL | yes |
| Mission San Jose High School | 2108 | MVAL | no |
| Washington High School | 2077 | MVAL | no |
| Livermore High School | 2045 | EBAL | no |
| De la Salle High School | 2044 | EBAL | yes |
| Irvingotn High School | 2010 | MVAL | no |
| Ukiah High School | 1952 | REL | no |
| Alameda High School | 1925 | ACAAL | no |
| Clayton Valley | 1892 | BVAL | yes |
| Newark Memorial High School | 1804 | MVAL | no |
| Carondelet | 1704 | EBAL | yes |
| Sonoma Valley High School | 1618 | REL | no |
| Concord High School | 1589 | DFAL | no |
| Northgate High School | 1581 | DFAL | yes |
| Las Lomas High School | 1569 | DFAL | yes |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ygnacio High School | 1538 | BVAL | no |
| Redwood | 1519 | MCAL | no |
| Alhambra High School | 1435 | DFAL | yes |
| Miramonte High School | 1399 | DFAL | yes |
| Campolindo High School | 1380 | DFAL | yes |
| Acalanes High School | 1375 | DFAL | no |
| Dublin High School | 1367 | DFAL | no |
| Heritage High School | 1297 | BVAL | no |
| Novato High School | 1263 | MCAL | yes |
| De Anza High School | 1208 | ACAAL | no |
| Tamaplais High School | 1204 | MCAL | no |
| Encinal High School | 1196 | ACAAL | no |
| Hercules High School | 1187 | ACAAL | no |
| Bishop Odowd High School | 1161 | ACAAL | no |
| Sir Francis Drake High School | 1092 | MCAL | yes |
| San Marin High School | 1091 | MCAL | no |
| Terra Linda High School | 1066 | MCAL | no |
| San Rafael High School | 1019 | MCAL | no |
| Piedmont High School | 941 | ACAAL | no |
| Cardinal Newman High School | 858 | REL | no |
| Marin Catholic | 706 | MCAL | yes |
| St.Patrick/St. Vincent | 663 | ACAAL | no |
| Ursuline | 636 | REL | no |
| Justin Siena | 617 | MCAL | no |
| Marin Academy | 464 | REL | no |
| Dougherty Valley | | DFAL | |

MOTION: Rick Winter SECOND: Ole Chiavini

**OPTION 2**

**FAILED(2-22-6)**

Break the Water Polo Playoffs into two Divisions strictly by CBEDS as in other sports. Create two team brackets( 16/8) (boys and girls) for each division and teams will be allowed to petition up.

# 16-Team/8-Team Bracket Option

DIVISION I – 1,351 & ABOVE (16-team bracket) (36)

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ALAMEDA HIGH SCHOOL | 1906 | FOOTHILL HIGH SCHOOL | 2364 | | |
| AMADOR VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL | 2534 | FREEDOM HIGH SCHOOL | 2289 | PINOLE VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL* | 1675 |
| AMERICAN HIGH SCHOOL | 1989 | GRANADA HIGH SCHOOL* | 2362 | REDWOOD HIGH SCHOOL | 1483 |
| ANTIOCH HIGH SCHOOL | 2814 | HERITAGE HIGH SCHOOL | 2027 | SAN RAMON VALLEY HIGH | 2075 |
| BERKELEY HIGH SCHOOL | 3418 | IRVINGTON HIGH SCHOOL | 2128 | SONOMA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL | 1380 |
| CALIFORNIA HIGH SCHOOL | 2455 | JAMES LOGAN HIGH SCHOOL | 4315 | UKIAH HIGH SCHOOL | 1720 |
| CAMPOLINDO HIGH SCHOOL | 1392 | LAS LOMAS HIGH SCHOOL | 1509 | WASHINGTON HIGH SCHOOL | 2060 |
| CARONDELET HIGH SCHOOL | 1648 | LIBERTY HIGH SCHOOL | 1985 | WINDSOR HIGH SCHOOL | 1739 |
| CLAYTON VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL | 1895 | LIVERMORE HIGH SCHOOL | 2064 | YGNACIO VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL | 1418 |
| COLLEGE PARK HIGH SCHOOL | 2050 | MIRAMONTE HIGH SCHOOL | 1356 | | |
| CONCORD HIGH SCHOOL | 1598 | MISSION SAN JOSE HIGH | 2154 | | |
| DE LA SALLE HIGH SCHOOL (B) | 2096 | MONTE VISTA HIGH SCHOOL | 2313 | | |
| DEER VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL | 3234 | NEWARK MEMORIAL HIGH | 2155 | | |
| DUBLIN HIGH SCHOOL* | 1374 | NORTHGATE HIGH SCHOOL* | 1548 | | |

**DIVISION II - 1 – 1,350 (8-team bracket) (17)** ACALANES HIGH SCHOOL 1319

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| ALHAMBRA HIGH SCHOOL | 1346 | NOVATO HIGH SCHOOL | 1318 |
| CARDINAL NEWMAN HIGH (B) | 852 | PIEDMONT HIGH SCHOOL | 809 |
| DOUGHERTY VALLEY | 1004 | SAN MARIN HIGH SCHOOL | 1030 |
| ENCINAL HIGH SCHOOL | 1298 | SAN RAFAEL HIGH SCHOOL | 953 |
| HERCULES HIGH SCHOOL | 1196 | SIR FRANCIS DRAKE HIGH | 1148 |
| JUSTIN-SIENA HIGH SCHOOL | 600 | ST. PATRICK/ST. VINCENT HIGH | 646 |
| MARIN ACADEMY HIGH SCHOOL | 403 | TAMALPAIS HIGH SCHOOL | 1128 |
| MARIN CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL | 725 | TERRA LINDA HIGH SCHOOL | 1123 |

MOTION: Phil Tomasini SECOND: Rick Winter

**OPTION 3**

**PASSED(24-2-4)**

Break the Water Polo Playoffs into two Divisions strictly by CBEDS as in other sports. Create two 12-team brackets (boys and girls) for each division and teams will be allowed to petition up.

WATER POLO DIVISIONS 2009-10

BASED ON 2008-09 TOTAL ENROLLMENT – GRADES 9-12

(Schools may petition up from Division II to Division I during fall petitioning-deadline Sept. 18, 2009)

# 12-Team Bracket Option

DIVISION I – 1,651 & ABOVE (12-team bracket) (26)

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ALAMEDA HIGH SCHOOL | 1906 | FREEDOM HIGH SCHOOL | 2289 | SAN RAMON VALLEY HIGH | 2075 |
| AMADOR VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL | 2534 | GRANADA HIGH SCHOOL* | 2362 | UKIAH HIGH SCHOOL | 1720 |
| AMERICAN HIGH SCHOOL | 1989 | HERITAGE HIGH SCHOOL | 2027 | WASHINGTON HIGH SCHOOL | 2060 |
| ANTIOCH HIGH SCHOOL | 2814 | IRVINGTON HIGH SCHOOL | 2128 | WINDSOR HIGH SCHOOL | 1739 |
| BERKELEY HIGH SCHOOL | 3418 | JAMES LOGAN HIGH SCHOOL | 4315 | | |
| CALIFORNIA HIGH SCHOOL | 2455 | LIBERTY HIGH SCHOOL | 1985 | | |
| CLAYTON VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL | 1895 | LIVERMORE HIGH SCHOOL | 2064 | | |
| COLLEGE PARK HIGH SCHOOL | 2050 | MISSION SAN JOSE HIGH | 2154 | | |
| DE LA SALLE HIGH SCHOOL (B) | 2096 | MONTE VISTA HIGH SCHOOL | 2313 | | |
| DEER VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL | 3234 | NEWARK MEMORIAL HIGH | 2155 | | |
| FOOTHILL HIGH SCHOOL | 2364 | PINOLE VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL* | 1675 | | |

DIVISION II - 1 – 1,650 (12-team bracket) (27)

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ACALANES HIGH SCHOOL | 1319 | HERCULES HIGH SCHOOL | 1196 | SAN MARIN HIGH SCHOOL | 1030 |
| ALHAMBRA HIGH SCHOOL | 1346 | JUSTIN-SIENA HIGH SCHOOL | 600 | SAN RAFAEL HIGH SCHOOL | 953 |
| BISHOP O'DOWD | 1194 | LAS LOMAS HIGH SCHOOL | 1509 | SIR FRANCIS DRAKE HIGH | 1148 |
| CAMPOLINDO HIGH SCHOOL | 1392 | MARIN ACADEMY HIGH SCHOOL | 403 | SONOMA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL | 1380 |
| CARONDELET HIGH SCHOOL | 1648 | MARIN CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL | 725 | ST. PATRICK/ST. VINCENT HIGH | 646 |
| CARDINAL NEWMAN HIGH (B) | 852 | MIRAMONTE HIGH SCHOOL | 1356 | TAMALPAIS HIGH SCHOOL | 1128 |
| CONCORD HIGH SCHOOL | 1598 | NORTHGATE HIGH SCHOOL* | 1548 | TERRA LINDA HIGH SCHOO | 1123 |
| DOUGHERTY VALLEY | 1004 | NOVATO HIGH SCHOOL | 1318 | YGNACIO VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL | 1418 |
| DUBLIN HIGH SCHOOL* | 1374 | PIEDMONT HIGH SCHOOL | 809 | | |
| ENCINAL HIGH SCHOOL | 1298 | REDWOOD HIGH SCHOOL | 1483 | | |

MOTION: Pat Middendorf SECOND: Linda Sawyer

C. **PASSED(31-0-0)**

Motion to select and seed the teams in all team sports for the NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships using the following procedures:

1) The system of at-large team selection and seeding incorporates the following concepts:

a) Representatives and alternate from each conference will be appointed by NCS staff to serve as panel members of each team sport. Five committee members would be voting members and the sixth would administrate the process. The committee would be assigned one gender and would select the at-large teams and seed the bracket for ALL divisions in that gender. The committee members will be informed of their sport PRIOR to the beginning of that sport's season.

b) Schools who qualify as an automatic entry, and schools interested in applying for an at-large berth shall submit a written application with complete information being faxed, emailed or hand delivered to the North Coast Section office by 9am on the day of the at-large/seeding meeting.

3) The selection panel will be responsible for the selection of at-large teams and seeding for each division of their assigned gender. The At-large & Seeding meeting

would be a closed meeting. As each division bracket is completed NCS staff will post on the NCS website.

Rationale: It was generally felt by the committee that the one year pilot program for basketball was very successful and was a much more streamline method for selection and seeding of the basketball tournaments, therefore this would be a good time to proceed with additional sports using the same procedure.

Pros and Cons regarding the At-large & Seeding Process

The following were comments made by committee members concerning the At-large & Seeding Process

1. Coaches ranking – league coaches voting in a block to help each other
2. It is the feeling to some individuals that the criteria for selection are not always followed by the committee.
3. Many times a committee will miss information on the board that affects the selection of at-large teams and seeding of the tournament.
4. Committees don't have enough time to evaluate the information on the board.
5. The person chairing the committee can have great influence on the success of the committee. Finding a good chair for every meeting can sometimes prove to be very challenging.
6. The presence of coaches and spectators in the room puts a tremendous amount of pressure on the committee.
7. Some committee members don't say a word and just agree with other committee members.
8. Sometimes the information on the board is incomplete.
9. The non-voting chair of the meeting is not allowed to say anything directly to influence the committee.
10. In many meetings, especially the larger brackets, the committee member just can't digest the information on the board.

Rationale: It was generally felt by the committee that the one year pilot program was very successful and was a much more streamline method for selection and seeding of the basketball tournaments, therefore the MCAL would like to phase in all team sports using this process.

MOTION: Rick Winter SECOND: Geri Giovanetti

D. **FAILED(8-20-1)**

**Alumni Game Proposal (BAC)**

Motion to allow a single alumni game (without practices) at any time during the school year; this includes outside the regular season of sport. Currently, alumni games are only allowed inside a season of sport.

100H NOTE 4 Varsity teams in non-contact sports (not football or wrestling) may participate in one game or scrimmage with its own alumni or faculty without the game or scrimmage counting against the maximum number of contests allowed. (This alumni or faculty "game" does not count as a scrimmage or a contest.) ***This alumni game maybe held at anytime during the school year, including outside the season of sport. Note: High School team may not practice outside the season of sport. Preparation for the alumni game is not allowed.***

Rationale:

Alumni games are currently allowed but only during the respective season of sport. This prevents alumni games from being organized by high schools and scheduled during times of the year (December holidays, alumni reunion weekends, etc.) that make sense for a school's particular situation if the logical date is outside the respective sport's season. This can be especially problematic for schools in which alumni tend to not remain in the local area following graduation. No practices would be allowed as part of this proposal. The benefit of this proposal would be for additional alumni games to be held which supports the building of stronger, intergenerational connections within a high school community, allows current student-athletes to meet and get advice from older, more experienced former high school student-athletes and allows for a more robust set of activities during special school celebrations, whenever they are held.

Pros: Allows schools more flexibility to schedule alumni games (i.e. over December break for sports held in fall or spring)

Cons: Could be perceived as opening up a door for teams to conduct out-of-season activities (but proposal and NCS rules specifically rule out any preparatory activities for alumni games so this is not a real problem).

MOTION: Tom Welsh SECOND: Mario Balestrieri

**VI. OLD BUSINES**

**A.** NONE

**VII. STAFF REPORTS**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **A.** | Commissioner (Attachment E) | **INFORMATION** |
| **B.** | Associate Commissioner (Attachment F) | **IFORMATION** |
| **C.** | Assistant Commissioner | **INFORMATION** |

ATTACHMENT A

# California Interscholastic Federation

Marie M. Ishida, Executive Director
STATE OFFICE
1320 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 140
Alameda, CA 94502
Phone 510-521-4447 Fax 510-521-4449

www.cifstate.org

**V.B.1.a.**

**To:** Federated Council

**From:** Basketball Advisory Committee

**Re:** Proposal A - Proposed Increase in Participants in the State Basketball Tournament

## Basketball Proposal – Increase to 16 team brackets

**Rationale**

Beginning in 1989, the State CIF Basketball Championships have been conducted in five (5) divisions. During the past two decades, the number of CIF member schools has increased and number of schools and students participating basketball has also increased significantly as validated by the latest participation survey.

| Total Schools | | Schools w/ BB Teams | | Basketball Participation | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Year | | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| 1989 | 1127 | 898 | 949 | 21,000 | 32,410 |
| 2007 | 1432 | 1,275 (+41%) | 1,334 (+40%) | 34,991(+67%) | 44,722 (+38%) |

- All CIF Sections have seen significant growth yet the number of entries has increased by only 20 teams with the addition of the out bracket games.
- The present playoff structure does not meet the needs of CIF member schools as the tournament has not evolved with this tremendous growth. In addition, class time, financial and travel concerns that face the CIF and its member schools must be considered when making revisions to the present system.

During the past eighteen (18) years, there have been a few minor adjustments to the format with the "no opting up" clause being the only Federated Council approved change. All other operational changes were approved by the Executive Committee.

- In 2003 schools were prohibited from opting up to higher division by Federate Council action in all sports.
- In 2003, San Francisco and Oakland were allowed two entries and out bracket games began as needed.
- In 2004, all southern Sections were allowed two entries and out bracket games were added to the southern regional when needed.
- In 2006, the southern California Division I brackets were increased to resolve the entry inequities in that division.

There are no constitution or bylaw changes that would need to be made as the "section determination" rather than enrollment based divisions was approved by the Federated Council in May 2008. However, to implement an increased number of participating teams and NOT INCREASE "out of class time," it is proposed that the tournament take place during a three week time frame. The State CIF Tournament has used the 3 week format twice in the past five years due to Arco Arena conflicts.

# Administrative Operations

**Tournament played over a 3 week period.**

**Pro:** Reduces the number of school nights out to either one or two for students. Presently, successful teams in the southern California regional play four games in one week, three on school nights.

**Con:** Extends the season by one week for 360 of the 79,713 participating student-athletes (0.4%) and 20 of the 2,609 (0.9%) teams.

**Division I-V**

A. In Division I-V, there will be a maximum 16 team brackets. Each section is guaranteed two entries per division in which they host section playoffs.

B. All entries into the maximum 16 team bracket will be pre-determined. No "at-large" entries.

C. Section entries will be capped at no more than 8 per division.

**Automatic Entrees**

1. The number of automatic team entries is based upon the number of schools competing within that division within the section. Sections must conduct championship playoffs in a division to be considered. Audit of participating teams within a section will take place every two years and the automatic entries will be adjusted for the next two year cycle.

| | |
|---|---|
| **1-24 schools** | **2 Teams** |
| **25-70 schools** | **4 Teams** |
| **71 +** | **8 Teams** |

**Southern California – Presently** (based upon 2007-08 numbers)

| | Div I | Div II | Div III | Div IV | Div V |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CS | 19 -2 | 17-2 | 18 -2 | 21 -2 | 15 - 2 |
| LA | 49 - 4 | 6 - 0 | 4 - 0 | 10 - 0 | 17 - 2 |
| SD | 23 - 2 | 20 - 2 | 22 - 2 | 19 - 2 | 23 - 2 |
| SS | 112 - 8<br>16 | 118 - 4<br>8 | 88 - 4<br>8 | 127 - 4<br>8 | 115 - 4<br>8 |

**Southern California Proposed - Example**

| | Div I | Div II | Div III | Div IV | Div V |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CS | 19 -2 | 17 -2 | 18 -2 | 21 -2 | 15 - 2 |
| LA | 30 - 4 | 22 - 2 | 0 - 0 | 10 - 0 | 17 - 2 |
| SD | 23 - 2 | 20 - 2 | 22 - 2 | 19 - 2 | 23 - 2 |
| SS | 112 - 8<br>16 | 118 - 8<br>14 | 88 - 8<br>12 | 127 - 8<br>12 | 115 - 8<br>12 |

**Northern California Presently** (based upon 2007-08 numbers)

| | Div I | II | III | IV | V |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CCS | 21 -2 | 24 - 2 | 24 - 2 | 23 - 2 | 31 - 2 |
| NS | 0 | 6 - 2 | 5 - 2 | 14 - 2 | 45 - 2 |
| NCS | 24 - 2 | 24 - 2 | 25 - 2 | 25 - 2 | 64 - 2 |
| OAK | 6 – 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SF | 11 - 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJS | 35 - 2<br>8-10 | 36 - 2<br>8 | 32 - 2<br>8 | 31 - 2<br>8 | 31 - 2<br>8 |

**Northern California Proposed - Example**

| | Div I | II | III | IV | V |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CCS | 21 -2 | 24 - 2 | 24 - 2 | 23 - 2 | 31 – 4 |
| NS | 0 | 6 - 2 | 5 - 2 | 14 - 2 | 45 – 4 |
| NCS | 24 - 2 | 24 - 2 | 25 - 4 | 25 - 4 | 64 - 4 |
| OAK | 6 – 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SF | 11 - 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SJS | 35 - 4<br>12 | 36 - 4<br>10 | 32 - 4<br>12 | 31 - 4<br>12 | 31 - 4<br>16 |

Playing Schedule

## Present Schedule

| Mon Tuesday | Thursday | Saturday | Fri-Sat |
|---|---|---|---|
| Opening Quarter F<br>180 teams<br>Playing statewide<br>Boys and Girls | Regional Semi<br>80 teams | Regional Finals<br>40 teams | State Championships<br>20 teams |
| 2700 students | 1200 students | 600 students | 300 students |

## Proposed Schedule

**Division I-V**

| Tuesday | Thursday | Saturday | Saturday | Fri-Sat |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Opening<br>320 teams (max) | Quarter- Final<br>160 teams | Regional Semi-Finals<br>80 teams | Regional Finals<br>40 teams | State Championships<br>20 teams |
| Playing state wide<br>Boys & Girls<br>13% schools<br>5,280 Students participate 6.6% | | 3.6%<br>1440 Students | 1.8%<br>720 Students | 0.09%<br>360 students |

## Proposed Implementation: 2009-2010

**First Reading October 31, 2008**
**Vote: January/May 2009**

April 2008 – Basketball Committee
June 2008 – Executive Committee
June 2008 – Commissioners Committee
Sept. 10, 2008 – Basketball Committee
Sept. 17, 2008 – New Events Committee
Oct. 6, 2008 – Commissioners Committee

ATTACHMENT B

# California Interscholastic Federation

Marie M. Ishida, Executive Director
STATE OFFICE
1320 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 140
Alameda, CA 94502
Phone 510-521-4447 Fax 510-521-4449

**V.B.1.b.**

www.cifstate.org

**To:** **Federated Council**

**From:** **Basketball Advisory Committee**

**Re:** **Proposal B - Add New Division to the Tournament**

**Proposal – add "New" Division**

The "New Division" shall be comprised of up to the top eight (8) teams selected from each region of the state as determined by the respective seeding committee. The teams shall be selected by the seeding committee regardless of their divisional placement. The New Division shall play on Wednesday, Saturday, Saturday and Finals weekend.

**Rationale**

The present playoff structure does not meet the needs of CIF member schools as the tournament has not evolved with the tremendous growth in participation. Additionally, the financial and travel concerns that face the CIF and its member schools must be considered when making revisions to the present system.

- **Across the state, many schools desire to compete at the highest level of competition, regardless of enrollment. "Showcase" match ups, often funded and supported by non-school entities, are played before large audiences during the regular season at little or no benefit to the CIF member schools.**

*There are no constitution or bylaw changes that would need to be made. However, to implement and REDUCE MISSED class time, it is proposed that the tournament take place during a three week time frame.*

# Administrative Operations

**Tournament played over a 3 week period.**

**Pro:** Reduces the number of school nights out to **one** for students. Presently, successful teams in the southern California regional play four games in one week, three on school nights.

**Con:** Extends the season by one week for 60 of the 79,713 participating student-athletes (0.4%) and 4 of the 2,609 (0.9%) teams.

1. The selection committee must select a section champion from their section playoffs prior to advancing the runner-up from the same division. A runner-up can be selected (when the champion in the same division has already been selected) prior to a champion from a different division.

2. If openings are created in Division I-V by teams begin advanced into the "new" division, they may be replaced by their respective section. If the section decides to forward replacement team(s), they must be in increments of 2 or 4. (This allows sections to advance their semi-finalist and/or quarter-finalist WITHOUT having to add any additional contests.)

3. If only one team is selected from a section (from one division) to advance into the "new division," that opening will NOT be filled.

Playing Schedule

**Present Schedule**

| Mon Tuesday | Thursday | Saturday | Fri-Sat |
|---|---|---|---|
| Opening Quarter F | Regional Semi | Regional Finals | State Championships |
| 180 teams | 80 teams | 40 teams | 20 teams |

**Proposed Schedule**

**"New Division"**

| Wednesday | Saturday | Saturday | Fri -Saturday |
|---|---|---|---|
| Opening | Regional Semi-Finals | Regional Finals | State Championships |
| 32 teams | 16 teams | 8 teams | 4 teams |
| Playing statewide | | | 60 students |
| Boys & Girls | | | |

**Proposed Implementation: 2009-2010**

**First Reading October 31, 2008**
**Vote: January/May 2009**

April 2008 – Basketball Committee
June 2008 – Executive Committee
June 2008 – Commissioners Committee
Sept. 10, 2008 – Basketball Committee
Sept. 17, 2008 – New Events Committee
Oct. 6, 2008 – Commissioners Committee

# NORTH COAST SECTION, CIF

**Attachment C**

*12925 Alcosta Blvd., Suite 8, SAN RAMON, CA, 94583*

*Phone: 925-866-8400 // Fax: 925-866-7100*

*Web Site: www.cifncs.org*


Last updated 10/20/08

## 2009-2012 NORTH COAST SECTION/LES SCHWAB TIRES CHAMPIONSHIP SCHEDULE

| | | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Earliest date practice may begin – | **FALL** | Aug. 17 | Aug. 16 | Aug. 15 |
| | **WINTER** | Nov. 9 | Nov. 8 | Nov. 7 |
| | **SPRING** | Feb. 8 | Feb. 7 | Feb. 6 |
| **FALL SOCCER** | | | | |
| Deadline for leagues to determine qualifiers | | Oct. 31 | Oct. 30 | Oct. 29 |
| Final date for competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires or CIF championships | | Oct. 31 | Oct. 30 | Oct. 29 |
| Fall Soccer At-Large and Seeding Meeting | | Nov. 1 | Oct. 31 | Oct. 30 |
| Fall Soccer Championships – Girls & Boys | | Nov. 4, 7, 11, 14 | Nov. 3, 6, 10, 13 | Nov. 2, 5, 9, 12 |
| **GIRLS GOLF** | | | | |
| Deadline for leagues to determine qualifiers | | Oct. 20 | Oct. 19 | Oct. 18 |
| Final date for competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires or CIF Championships | | Oct. 20 | Oct. 19 | Oct. 18 |
| Golf - At-Large meeting | | Oct. 21 | Oct. 20 | Oct. 19 |
| NCS/Les Schwab Tires Regional Qualifiers | | Oct. 26 | Oct. 25 | Oct. 24 |
| NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | | Nov. 2 | Nov. 1 | Oct. 31 |
| Northern California Golf championships | | Nov. 9 | Nov. 8 | Nov. 7 |
| CIF State Championships | | Nov. 18 | Nov. 17 | Nov. 16 |
| * pending course availability | | | | |
| **WATER POLO** | | | | |
| Deadline for leagues to determine qualifiers | | Oct. 31 | Oct. 30 | Oct. 29 |
| Final date for competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | | Oct. 31 | Oct. 30 | Oct. 29 |
| Fall Water Polo At-Large and Seeding Meeting | | Nov. 1 | Oct. 31 | Oct. 30 |
| Fall Boys and Girls Water Polo Championships | | Nov. 4, 7, 10, 12, 14 | Nov. 3, 6, 9, 11, 13 | Nov. 2, 5, 8, 10, 12 |
| **CROSS COUNTRY** | | | | |
| Final date for competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires | | Nov. 14 | Nov. 13 | Nov. 12 |
| Deadline for leagues to determine qualifiers in team tennis | | Nov. 11 (Team tennis only) | Nov. 6 (Team tennis only) | Nov. 5(Team tennis only) |
| Final date for team competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | | Nov. 7 (Team tennis only) | Nov. 6 (Team tennis only) | Nov. 5(Team tennis only) |
| Deadline for leagues to determine qualifiers for singles/doubles | | Nov. 11 | Nov. 10 | Nov. 9 |
| Final date for singles/doubles competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | | Nov. 11 | Nov. 10 | Nov. 9 |
| Singles At-large Mtg. | | Nov. 12 | Nov. 11 | Nov. 10 |
| Singles/Doubles Championships | | Nov. 17, 18 | Nov. 16, 17 | Nov. 15, 16 |
| At-Large & Seeding for Team Tennis | | Nov. 8 | Nov. 7 | Nov. 6 |
| Team Tennis Championships – Class 3A | | Nov. 10, 12, 14 | Nov. 9, 11, 13 | Nov. 8, 10, 12 |
| Team Tennis Championships – Class A | | Nov. 10, 12, 14 | Nov. 9, 11, 13 | Nov. 8, 10, 12 |
| CIF Nor-Cal Team Tennis Championships | | Nov. 20, 21 | Nov. 19, 20 | Nov. 18, 19 |
| **FOOTBALL** | | | | |
| Deadline for Division I-V leagues to determine qualifiers to NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | | Nov. 14 | Nov. 13 | Nov. 12 |
| Final date for Division I-V games other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | | Nov. 14 | Nov. 13 | Nov. 12 |
| Division I-V At-large and Seeding Meetings | | Nov. 15 | Nov. 14 | Nov. 13 |
| Division I-V first round games | | Nov. 20, 21 | Nov. 19, 20 | Nov. 18, 19 |

| BOYS WRESTLING | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Final date for competition other than league individual qualifying tournament or NCS/Les Schwab Tires and CIF Championships | Feb. 11 | Feb. 10 | Feb. 9 |
| Deadline for leagues to determine dual team qualifiers | Feb. 11 | Feb. 10 | Feb.9 |
| Dual Team Competition (student competition) | Feb. 13 | Feb. 12 | Feb. 11 |
| Deadline for leagues to determine individual qualifiers | Feb. 20 | Feb. 19 | Feb. 18 |
| Seeding Meeting | Feb. 21 | Feb. 20 | Feb. 19 |
| NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | Feb. 26, 27 | Feb. 25, 26 | Feb. 24, 25 |
| State CIF Championships | Mar. 5, 6 | March 4, 5 | Mar. 2, 3 |

| GIRLS WRESTLING | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Final date for competition other than league individual qualifying tournament or NCS/Les Schwab Tires and CIF Championships | Feb. 11 | Feb. 10 | Feb. 9 |
| Deadline for leagues to determine dual team qualifiers | Feb. 11 | Feb. 10 | Feb. 9 |
| Dual Team Competition (student competition) | Feb. 13 | Feb. 12 | Feb. 11 |
| Deadline for leagues to determine individual qualifiers | Feb. 20 | Feb. 19 | Feb. 18 |
| Seeding Meeting | Feb. 21 | Feb. 20 | Feb. 19 |
| NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | Feb. 26, 27 | Feb. 25, 26 | Feb. 24, 25 |

| COED BADMINTON | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Deadline for leagues to determine qualifiers | May 15 | May 14 | May 11 |
| Final date for competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | May 15 | May 14 | May 11 |
| NCS Badminton Entries Deadline | May 16 | May 15 | May 12 |
| NCS Badminton Bracket Development Meeting | May 16 | May 15 | May 12 |
| NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | May 22 | May 21 | May 19 |

| BOYS BASEBALL | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Deadline for Class B, A, 2A/3A RE, 2A/3A EB to determine qualifiers | May 22 | May 21 | May 19 |
| Final date for Class B, A, 2A/3A RE, 2A/3A EB competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | May 22 | May 21 | May 19 |
| Class B, A, 2A/3A RE, 2A/3A EB At-Large, Seeding, & Orientation meetings | May 23 | May 22 | May 20 |
| Class B, A, 2A/3A RE, 2A/3A EB first round games | May 25, 26 | May 24, 25 | May 22, 23 |
| Class 2A/3A EB second round games | May 28, 29 | May 27, 28 | May 25, 26 |
| Class B, A, 2A/3A RE Semifinal games | May 28, 29 | May 27, 28 | May 25, 26 |
| Class 2A/3A EB Semifinal games | June 1, 2 | May 31, June 1 | May 29, 30 |
| Class A, B, 2A/3ARE, 2A/3A EB NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | June 4, 5 | June 3, 4 | June 1, 2 |

| BOYS GOLF | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Deadline for leagues to determine qualifiers | April 27* | April 26* | April 24* |
| Final date for competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires or CIF Championships | April 27* | April 26* | April 24* |
| *NCS At-large Mtg.* | *April 28* | *April 27* | *April 25* |
| North and South Qualifier Tournaments-Hosted by League Rotation | May 3* | May 2* | April 30* |
| NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | May 10* | May 9* | May 7* |
| Northern California Golf championships | May 24 | May 23 | May 21 |
| CIF State Championship | June 8 | June 7 | June 5 |

*Pending course availability

| LACROSSE | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Deadline for leagues to determine qualifiers | May 15 | May 14 | May 12 |
| Final date for competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires or CIF Championships | May 15 | May 14 | May 12 |
| At-Large, Seeding & Orientation meetings | May 16 | May 15 | May 13 |
| NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | May 19, 22, 26, 29 | May 18, 21, 25, 28 | May 16, 19, 23, 26 |

| GIRLS SOCCER | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Deadline for leagues to determine qualifiers | May 15 | May 14 | May 11 |
| Final date for competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires or CIF Championships | May 15 | May 14 | May 11 |
| At-Large, Seeding & Orientation meetings | May 16 | May 15 | May 12 |
| NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | May 18, 20, 22 | May 17, 19, 21 | May 15, 17, 19 |

| SOFTBALL ** | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Deadline for Class B, A, 2A/3A RE, 2A/3A EB leagues to determine qualifiers | May 22 | May 21 | May 19 |
| Final date for Class B, A, 2A/3A RE, 2A/3A EB competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | May 22 | May 21 | May 19 |
| Class B, A, 2A/3A RE, 2A/3A EB At-Large, Seeding & Orientation meetings | May 23 | May 22 | May 20 |
| Class B, 2A/3A East Bay 1st Round games | May 26 | May 25 | May 23 |
| Class A, Class 2A/3A RE 1st Round games | May 25 | May 24 | May 22 |
| Class B, 2A/3A East Bay 2nd Round games | May 29 | May 28 | May 26 |
| Class A, 2A/3A RE Semifinal games | May 27 | May 26 | May 24 |
| Class B, 2A/3A East Bay Semifinal games | June 2 | June 1 | May 30 |
| Class A, 2A/3A RE Championship games | May 29 | May 28 | May 26 |
| Class B, 2A/3A EB NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championship games | June 5 | June 4 | June 2 |

| BOYS AND GIRLS SWIMMING | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Deadline for leagues to determine qualifiers | May 15 | May 14 | May 12 |
| Final date for competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | May 15 | May 14 | May 12 |
| Seeding Meeting | May 17 | May 16 | May 14 |
| Diving Championships | May 20 | May 19 | May 17 |
| Swimming trials | May 21 | May 20 | May 18 |
| Swimming Championships | May 22 | May 21 | May 19 |

*When the venue for the swimming and diving championship allows both activities to be held in the same location the diving championships will be held on the same day as the swimming trials.

| BOYS TENNIS | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Deadline for leagues to determine qualifiers | May 5 | May 4 | May 2 |
| Final date for competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships | May 5 | May 4 | May 2 |
| Singles At-large Mtg. | May 6 | May 5 | May 3 |
| Singles/Doubles Championships | May 7, 8 | May 6, 7 | May 4, 5 |
| At-Large & Seeding for Team Tennis | May 9 | May 8 | May 6 |
| Team Tennis Championships – Class 3A | May 11, 13 15 | May 10, 12, 14 | May 8, 10, 12 |
| Team Tennis Championships – Class A | May 11, 13, 15 | May 10, 12, 14 | May 8, 10, 12 |
| CIF Nor-Cal Team Tennis Championships | May 21, 22 | May 20, 21 | May 18, 19 |

| BOYS AND GIRLS TRACK | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Deadline for leagues to determine qualifiers | May 15 | May 14 | May 12 |
| Final date for competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires or CIF Championships | May 15 | May 14 | May 12 |
| Class A Classification Championships | May 22 | May 21 | May 19 |
| Area Meets (Redwood Empire, Bay Shore & Tri-Valley) | May 22 | May 21 | May 19 |
| NCS/Les Schwab Tires Meet of Champions | May 28, 29 | May 27, 28 | May 25, 26 |
| State CIF Championships | June 4, 5 | June 3, 4 | June 1, 2 |

| BOYS VOLLEYBALL | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Deadline for leagues to determine qualifiers | May 15 | May 14 | May 11 |
| Final date for competition other than NCS/Les Schwab Tires or CIF Championships | May 15 | May 14 | May 11 |
| At-Large and Seeding | May 16 | May 15 | May 12 |
| NCS/Les Schwab Tires Championships (1st, Semifinal and Championship Rounds in Division I, II and III) | May 18, 20, 22 | May 17, 19, 21 | May 16, 18, 20 |

*Mother's Day in 2010 is May 9th. Seeding meetings for this weekend will be held Saturday, May 8th. Final date of competition is Friday, May 7th. 20012 Mother's Day is on May 13th for this weekend the development meeting will be on May 12th.

REVISED 11/13/08 by ks

ATTACHMENT D

# At-large Times for Track & Field - NCS Class A Meet (Proposed)

| Boys | Class A Times - 8th Place Finisher in the Finals | | | | *Class A Meet Record* | *NCS 2008 At-large Standard* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Event | 2008 | 2007 | 07-'08 Average | **2009 Class A Std** | | |
| 4 x 100 | 46.82 | 46.97 | 46.895 | **46.90** | 43.94 | **43.46** |
| 1600 | 4:44.31 | 4:41.58 | 04:42.9 | **4.43** | 4:16.34 | **4:25.71** |
| 110HH | 18.03 | 18.64 | 18.335 | **18.34** | 14.64 | **15.55** |
| 400 | 53.57 | 55.14 | 54.355 | **54.36** | 49.34 | **50.71** |
| 100 | 11.72 | 12.58 | 12.150 | **12.15** | 10.94 | **11.15** |
| 800 | 2:06.27 | 2:07.48 | 2:06.88 | **2:06.88** | 1:55.74 | **1:59.05** |
| 300H | 46.09 | 46.12 | 46.105 | **46:11** | 39.94 | **41.28** |
| 200 | 24.44 | 25.28 | 24.860 | **24:86** | 22.14 | **22.80** |
| 3200 | 10:44.03 | 10:28.73 | 10:36.38 | **10:36.38** | 9:38.04 | **9:42.33** |
| 4 x 400 | 3:44.47 | 3:46.85 | 3:45.66 | **3:45.66** | 3:28.84 | **3:27.87** |
| LJ | 18-06 | 18-09 | 18-07.5 | **18-08** | 22-00 | **20-10.75** |
| TJ | 37-00 | 36-08 | 36-10 | **36-10** | 46-11.5 | **42-8.00** |
| HJ | 5-06 | 5-06 | 5-06 | **5-06** | 6-07.25 | **6-01** |
| SP | 39-00 | 40-00 | 39-06 | **39-06** | 55-05 | **48-5.75** |
| Discus | 111-01 | 116-05 | 113-09 | **113-09** | 170-10 | **143-8** |
| PV | 8-06 | 8-06 | 8-06 | **8-06** | 14-01 | **13-02** |
| **Girls** | | | | | | |
| 4 x 100 | 55.42 | 55.23 | 55.325 | **55.33** | 49.91 | **50.15** |
| 1600 | 05:54.2 | 5:51.74 | 5:52.97 | **5:52.97** | 5:07.45 | **5:07.83** |
| 100H | 18.23 | 18:52 | 18.230 | **18.23** | 13.81 | **15.74** |
| 400 | 01:03.8 | 1:05.04 | 1:04.60 | **1:04.60** | 57.85 | **59.12** |
| 100 | 13.31 | 13.66 | 13.485 | **13.49** | 11.98 | **12.61** |
| 800 | 02:49.3 | 2:41.88 | 2:45.59 | **2:45.59** | 2:15.89 | **2:18.50** |
| 300H | 54.65 | 52.43 | 53.540 | **53.54** | 45.14 | **46.76** |
| 200 | 29.27 | 28.71 | 28.990 | **28.99** | 24.72 | **26.24** |
| 3200 | 13:21.5 | 14:30.07 | 13:56.4 | **13:56.4** | 10:45.11 | **11:48.05** |
| 4 x 400 | 04:39.6 | 4:42.92 | 4:41.26 | **4:41.26** | 4:07.49 | **4:05.52** |
| LJ | 14-6.5 | 14-05.75 | 14-6.1 | **14-6** | 18-06 | **16-10.75** |
| TJ | 28-07 | 30-04.5 | 29-05.75 | **29-06** | 36-08.5 | **35-10.00** |
| HJ | 4-6 | 4-6 | 4-6 | **4-6** | 5-06 | **5-00** |
| SP | 27-11 | 29-05.5 | 28-08.25 | **28-08** | 40-02.75 | **36-10.25** |
| Discus | 84-06 | 87-02 | 86-00 | **86-00** | 125-07 | **110-08** |
| PV | 6-6 | 7-6 | 7-0 | **7-0** | 10-02 | **10-02** |

# NORTH COAST SECTION, CIF

*12925 Alcosta Blvd., Suite 8, San Ramon, CA 94583*
*Phone: 925-866-8400 // Fax: 925-866-7100*
*Website: www.cifncs.org*

## UNDUE INFLUENCE RULE INTERPRETATIONS

Last updated Sunday, December 7, 2008. Additional interpretations are noted by this ("☛") symbol.

The review of the meaning and interpretation of the CIF Undue Influence rule below is meant to give schools a guide to determining what is, and is not, a violation of the CIF Bylaw 510 concerning undue influence; pre-enrollment contact and failure to disclose pre-enrollment contact. The NCS Commissioner of Athletics has the responsibility of determining if and when a violation of this rule has taken place. It is very important that each NCS member school review with coaches, athletes, parents and other individuals associated with the school this rule, the interpretations and the results of failure to adhere to the spirit of the rule. When there is a violation of CIF Bylaw 510 an athlete can be ineligible for two calendar years and a school's athletic program can be sanctioned. Questions and possible violations regarding undue influence and pre-enrollment rules should be directed to appropriate school personnel.

### General Outline of the Undue Influence Rule:

Undue Influence – Pre-Enrollment Contact – The concept of undue influence – pre-enrollment contact is still new and not always understood. The purpose of the 510 form is for parents, students and school administrations and coaches to acknowledge **any** pre-enrollment contact. It does not mean that the pre-enrollment contact is recruitment, or a student is transferring based on athletic motivation. However, it is a violation when the contact is not reported. Not reporting the contact may result in up to a two-year suspension of athletic eligibility for an athlete and removal of a school from CIF membership depending on the severity of the violation. Undue Influence and Pre-Enrollment contact apply at all times prior to enrollment at a school regardless of the age of the student (pre-high school or high school age)

Examples of Undue Influence and Pre-Enrollment Contact (These examples would require acknowledge by the school, athlete and parent that there was pre-enrollment contact prior to enrollment using the 510 Pre-Enrollment Contact form.)

Examples of Pre-Enrollment Contact

1. Potential athlete visits a school prior to enrollment.
2. A student returns to a school of attendance after transferring away from the school.
3. Potential athlete attends open gym or open field and then transfers to the school hosting the open gym or open field.
4. Student shows up at a school the student is interested in attending and speaks to a coach, athlete, athletic director or administrator.
5. Prior to enrollment at School A the student plays in athletic contests with students at School A.
6. Prior to enrollment at School A the student is coached by a parent, coach, teacher or any other person connected with School A.
7. A potential athlete communicates with the coach of a school prior to enrollment.

Examples of Undue Influence

1. Members of a school booster club talk to a potential athlete who may attend their school and tell the athlete he or she would be welcome at the school.
2. A school invites junior high or middle school students to attend a school function.

3. A school invites junior high or middle school students to attend a high school game.
4. A school offers a discounted ticket price or a free ticket to a home game to a potential student.
5. A school invites any non-school team to play at half-time where the members of the non-school have the potential to attend the school.
6. A coach tries to convince a current athlete to not transfer to another school.

Prima Facie Evidence

Prima facie evidence is "sufficient evidence" of undue influence or recruiting by a school to which the student transfers or that the student enrolled in that school in whole or in part for athletic reasons. Examples are listed below. When prima facie evidence exists the student is ineligible but has the opportunity to present sufficient proof to the satisfaction of the section commissioner that rebuts or disproves the evidence.

Examples of Prima Facie Evidence

1. A student transfers to School A where the School A coach is also the coach of the new student's club team.
2. A student transfers to School A where there was pre-enrollment contact. The contact is reported on the CIF 510 form. The student is ineligible for one calendar year unless the student and family can disprove or rebut the prima facie evidence.
3. A student transfers to School A and plays on a club team where some or all of the members of the club team also attend School A.

Pre-High School Visitation

Each year personnel from schools visit their feeder schools to share information regarding their high school programs. These visits have taken place for years in an effort to prepare incoming freshman for the start of high school. During these visits it is not uncommon to discuss a school's athletic program. As part of the comprehensive education program of the high school this is expected. However, care must be taken to not recruit students to your school. This time prior to actual attendance to a high school is a time where parents and students should have no pressure to make decisions regarding where they will attend high school. Incoming students must always have the opportunity to select a high school with no influence from high schools. Below are some examples of parameters that a school should follow.

Examples of good practices when doing feeder school visits

1. Arrangements to share information about your school with potential students should be made by the principal of the high school with the principal of the feeder school.
2. It is legal for the visit to only discuss athletics, but only general information regarding the athletic program, such as physicals, open gyms, open weight rooms, starting dates for fall programs, what sports the school offers, etc.
3. Visits should be made by a person selected by the principal who will share information about the school and not information about a single program, such as the football program, or the volleyball program.
4. Sign-ups may be taken and information shared with coaches at your school. However, if contact is made with potential students the coach must be very careful not to encourage a student to attend their school if the student states that they are still weighing their options.
5. Individual coaches must go by themselves to talk to potential athletes in a specific sport. Discussion must always be general information about the entire

athletic program.

## CIF Constitution and General Bylaws

**510. UNDUE INFLUENCE; PRE-ENROLLMENT CONTACT; AND FAILURE TO DISCLOSE PRE-ENROLLMENT CONTACT**

The use of undue influence by any person or persons to secure or retain a student or to secure or retain one or both parent(s)/legal guardian(s)/caregivers of a student as residents may cause the student to be ineligible for high school athletics for a period of one year and shall jeopardize the standing of the high school in the California Interscholastic Federation.

**NOTE**: Undue influence is any act, gesture or communication (including accepting material or financial inducement to attend a CIF member school for the purpose of engaging in CIF competition regardless of the source) which is performed personally, or through another, which may be objectively seen as an inducement, or part of a process of inducing a student, or his or her parent or guardian, by or on behalf of, a member school, to enroll in, transfer to, or remain in, a particular school for athletic purposes.

A. **Pre-enrollment Contact**

Any and all pre-enrollment contact of any kind whatsoever with a student must be disclosed by the student, parent(s)/legal guardian(s)/caregiver(s) and the schools to the Section office on a completed CIF Pre-enrollment Contact Affidavit (CIF Form 510). Pre-enrollment contact may include, but is not limited to: any communication of any kind, directly or indirectly, with the student, parent(s)/legal guardian(s)/caregiver(s), relatives, or friends of the student about the athletic programs at a school; orientation/information programs, shadowing programs; attendance at outside athletic events or the like by anyone associated* with the school to observe the student; participation by the student in programs supervised by the school or its associates before enrollment in the school.

Pre-enrollment contact may be considered prima facie evidence ("sufficient evidence") that the student enrolled in that school in whole or in part for athletic reasons (See Bylaw 200) and cause the student to be ineligible for participation in high school athletics for a period of one year from the date of enrollment at the new school in all those sports in which the student participated at the former school.

Athletically motivated pre-enrollment contact of any kind by anyone from, or associated* with, a school or its athletic programs to which a student may transfer or move into the attendance area is not permitted.

When a prima facie case ("sufficient evidence") of an athletically motivated move exists, the student shall be ineligible to represent the new school in interscholastic athletic competition for a period of one calendar year from the date of the student's enrollment in the new school in all those sports in which the student participated at the former school (See also "C." and "D.") unless sufficient proof is presented to the satisfaction of the Section Commissioner that rebuts or disproves the presumption that the move was athletically motivated.

** Defined as: Persons "associated" with a school include, but are not limited to, parents of current or former student/athletes, booster club members, alumni, spouses or relatives of coaches, teachers and other employees, former coaches, active applicants for coaching positions, and persons who are employed by companies or organizations that have donated athletic supplies, equipment or apparel to that school.*

B. **Failure to disclose Pre-enrollment Contact**

A failure to disclose pre-enrollment contact may be considered prima facie evidence ("sufficient evidence") of recruiting or undue influence to attend the school and may cause the student to be ineligible for high school athletics and shall jeopardize the standing of the high school in the California Interscholastic Federation.

When there is failure to disclose pre-enrollment contact, the student shall be ineligible under the provisions of CIF Bylaw 202 to represent the new school in interscholastic athletic competition for a period of one calendar year from the date of the student's enrollment in the new school unless sufficient proof is presented to the satisfaction of the Section Commissioner that rebuts or disproves the evidence of undue influence/recruiting for athletic reasons.

C. **Transferring to a School after Participating on a Non-School Athletic Team Associated with the School**

A transfer of a student from his or her current school of attendance with or without a corresponding change of residence to any high school where the student participates or participated, during the previous 24 months, on a non-school athletic team, (i.e. AAU, American Legion, club team, etc.) that is associated* with (see also definition in part A) the new school in the sports previously participated in shall be considered prima facie* evidence ("sufficient evidence") of undue influence/recruiting by the school to which the student transfers. Such transfers may be considered prima facie evidence that the student enrolled in that school in whole or in part for athletic reasons.

A team associated with a school is one that is organized by and/or coached by any member of the coaching staff at, or any other person associated* with (see also definition in part A), that school; and/or, on which the majority of the members of the team (Participants in practice and/or competition) are students who attend that school.

When a prima facie case of undue influence/recruiting exists, the student shall be ineligible to represent the new school in interscholastic athletic competition for a period of one calendar year from the date of the student's enrollment in the new school unless sufficient proof is presented to the satisfaction of the Section Commissioner that rebuts or disproves the evidence of undue influence/recruiting for athletic reasons.

**Note:** This shall apply to the sport(s) played at the previous school coached by the new coach in the previous 24 months.

**D. Transferring to a School Where a Former High School Coach has Relocated**

A student at any grade level who transfers to a new school within one calendar year of the relocation of his/her high school coach to that school with or without a corresponding change in residence shall be considered prima facie evidence ("sufficient evidence") of undue influence/recruiting by the school to which the student transfers or may be considered prima facie evidence that the student enrolled in that school in whole or in part for athletic reasons. The student shall not be eligible to participate in interscholastic competition for one calendar year from the date of enrollment in the new school in all sports in which the student participated at the former school.

When a prima facie case of undue influence/recruiting exists, the student shall be ineligible to represent the new school in interscholastic athletic competition for a period of one calendar year from the date of the student's enrollment in the new school in all sports in which the student participated at the former school unless sufficient proof is presented to the satisfaction of the Section Commissioner that rebuts or disproves the evidence of undue influence/recruiting for athletic

reasons.

E. A student shall become ineligible for CIF competition and shall be penalized according to Bylaw 213 for accepting material or financial inducement to attend a CIF member school for the purpose of engaging in CIF competition, regardless of the source.

F. All transfer students shall submit a completed CIF Pre-enrollment Contract Affidavit (CIF Form 510) with the appropriate transfer application(s) as required by the Section under Bylaw 207 and/or Bylaw 209.
**NOTE:** CIF Form 510 is available through the local CIF Section Office.

Attachment F

# FROM THE DESK OF KAREN SMITH
## Associate Commissioner - North Coast Section, CIF

*December 8, 2008*

**League Commissioner Reminders**

- League Commissioners are encouraged to forward SAC items to the NCS Office no later than Monday, February 1, 2009 for inclusion in the March 9, 2009 SAC agenda. NCS staff would like to mail the agenda the following week. Agenda items must be in the form of a motion and include rationale. Your cooperation is greatly appreciated.
- If your league has not forwarded the names of winter at-large & seeding committees please do so immediately. NCS staff has information to send to each member. In addition, NCS staff sometimes must change the meeting they were originally assigned. We need as much advance notice as possible. Thanks in advance for your cooperation! ***Don't forget that NCS does not need previously requested seeding committee members for baseball and softball. More information is included below.***

**NCS/LES SCHWAB TIRES BASKETBALL CHAMPIONSHIPS**

NCS staff is making final arrangements on sites to be used during the NCS/Les Schwab Tires Basketball Championships. Arrangements include the possible use of St. Mary's College and other high schools with facilities with larger seating capacities and locations approximate to potential higher seeded teams in the championship round. Cal State East Bay will not be used this year based on management interference at the site.

**NCS BASKETBALL AT-LARGE & SEEDING COMMITTEE**

NCS staff have arranged for twelve individuals (two from each NCS conference) to participate in the process of selection of at-large teams for the NCS Basketball Championships and seeding of the tournament. Each participant has been sent information concerning their responsibilities, meeting date and internet locations where they can track potential teams, review records, head to head competition, common opponents, etc.

- A letter will be sent to NCS basketball coaches outlining their responsibilities for participation in the NCS Basketball Championships.